

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/739,143	12/18/2000	Koichi Hata	MAT-8070US	9841		
7:	590 05/31/2005	EXAMINER				
Lawrence E. Ashery			VAUGHN, GREGORY J			
Ratner & Presti	a					
One Westlakes,	, Berwyn, Suite 301	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 980	•	2178				
Valley Forge, 1	PA 19482-0980		DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/739,143	HATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory J. Vaughn	2178	

		Gregory J. Vaugnn		21/8	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in con- time periods:	o or on e follov 2) a No	the same day as filing ving replies: (1) an amo tice of Appeal (with ap	a Notice of endment, aff peal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	ing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on o event, however, will the statutory period for reply 6	expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	IPEP 7	06.07(f).	•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL	od of ex of the s ice later	tension and the correspor shortened statutory period than three months after t	nding amount I for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in	n comr	liance with 37 CFR 41	37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS	ıy exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	ation	hut prior to the date of	filina a briaf	will not be entered b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reje They raise new issues that would require furth 					ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT			1011 (366 140	i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or			materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a	corresponding number	of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF			e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec				•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s). 			a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendments how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:			•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of gowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date o entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is need. 	led to d	overcome all rejections	under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expl	lanatio	n of the status of the cl	laims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been conside <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 					nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	149) Paper 1	No(s)	
 Other: <u>Attached definitions sheet</u>. 					,
				QLAND.	HONG
			. .	I I I I I I	I IUIUG

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EN

The recited prior art of record (Li et al. US Patent 6,345,279 and/or Fields et al. US Patent 6,606,120) anticipates or renders obvious the claimed limitations of the current application.

In response to applicant's request for the examiner to conduct a dictionary search of the term "bit map", the examiner finds the applicant's dictionary search to be acceptable in order to support the examiner's position related to the new matter objection and rejection recited in the previous final office action filed 3/10/2005. Specifically the search provided by applicant provides 13 different definitions for the term "Bit map". While some of these definitions have overlapping meanings, there are three general groups of meanings presented in the list: related to representing graphics in the form of pixels (the bits correspond to the pixels); a specialized form of an index; and a file format. While the applicant has failed to specifically point to one of these definitions as the meaning of the claim language in question, the applicant's originally file application suggests on page 9, lines 7-13 that the inventive process, in a color reducing step, converts 24-bit colors to 8-bit colors or 8-bit colors to 1-bit colors, such that "a color histogram is sorted according to an index arranged in a one dimensional array".

The Examiner has further conducted a search for definitions related to the term "image data" which is used as a key term in the inventive disclosure (see attachment). The 4 definitions presented clearly indicate that image data can take forms other than bit maps, including raster images and satellite data. The third definition presented on the list defines image data as "a term used loosely to refer to bitmap data, or the portion of a bitmap file containing bitmap data". 35 USC 112 requires the specification provide a "written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable a person skilled in the art ..." The examiner maintains the position that the originally filed disclosure fails to support the claimed limitation of "a bit map of a document".